Flight crews on international routes encounter different units of measurement for setting barometric altimeters, thus requiring altimeter cross-check procedures.

**Statistical Data**

The Flight Safety Foundation Approach-and-landing Accident Reduction (ALAR) Task Force found that lack of positional awareness was a causal factor\(^1\) in 51 percent of 76 approach-and-landing accidents and serious incidents worldwide in 1984 through 1997.\(^2\) The task force said that these accidents and incidents generally involved lack of vertical-position awareness and resulted in controlled flight into terrain (CFIT).

**QNH or QFE?**

QNH (altimeter setting that causes the altimeter to indicate height above mean sea level [i.e., field elevation at touchdown on the runway]) has the advantage of eliminating the need to change the altimeter setting during operations below the transition altitude/flight level (FL).

QNH also eliminates the need to change the altimeter setting during a missed approach, whereas such a change usually would be required when QFE (altimeter setting that causes the altimeter to indicate height above the QFE reference datum [i.e., zero at touchdown on the runway]) is used.

Some operators set the altimeter to QFE in areas where air traffic control (ATC) uses QNH and the majority of operators use QNH. Standard operating procedures (SOPs) can prevent altimeter-setting errors.

**Units of Measurement**

The most common units of measurement for setting altimeters are:

- **Hectopascals (hPa)** [previously referred to as millibars (mb)]; and,
- **Inches of mercury (in. Hg).**

When in. Hg is used for the altimeter setting, unusual barometric pressures, such as 28.XX in. Hg (low pressure) or 30.XX in. Hg (high pressure), may go undetected when listening to the automatic terminal information service (ATIS) or ATC, resulting in a more usual 29.XX altimeter setting being set.

Figure 1 and Figure 2 show that a 1.00 in. Hg discrepancy in the altimeter setting results in a **1,000-foot error in the indicated altitude.**

In Figure 1, QNH is an unusually low 28.XX in. Hg, but the altimeter was set mistakenly to a more usual 29.XX in. Hg, resulting in the true altitude (i.e., the aircraft’s actual height above mean sea level) being **1,000 feet lower than indicated.**

In Figure 2, QNH is an unusually high 30.XX in. Hg, but the altimeter was set mistakenly to a more usual 29.XX in. Hg, resulting in the true altitude being **1,000 feet higher than indicated.**

Confusion about units of measurement (i.e., hPa vs. in. Hg) leads to similar errors.

In Figure 3, a QNH of 991 hPa was set mistakenly on the altimeter as 29.91 in. Hg (equivalent to 1012 hPa), resulting in the true altitude being **640 feet lower than indicated.**

**Setting the Altimeter**

To help prevent errors associated with different units of measurement or with unusual values (low or high), the following SOPs should be used when broadcasting (ATIS or controllers) or reading back (pilots) an altimeter setting:

- All digits, as well as the unit of measurement (e.g., *inches or hectopascals*), should be announced.

A transmission such as “altimeter setting six seven” can be interpreted as 28.67 in. Hg, 29.67 in. Hg, 30.67 in. Hg or 967 hPa.

Stating the complete altimeter setting prevents confusion and allows detection and correction of a previous error.
When using in. Hg, “low” should precede an altimeter setting of 28.XX in. Hg and “high” should precede an altimeter setting of 30.XX in. Hg.

An incorrect altimeter setting often is the result of one or more of the following factors:

- High workload;
- A deviation from defined task sharing;
- An interruption/distraction;
- Inadequate cross-checking by flight crewmembers; or,
Confusion about units of measurement.

Adherence to the defined task sharing (for normal conditions or abnormal conditions) and normal checklists are effective defenses to help prevent altimeter-setting errors.

Metric Altimeter

Metric altitudes in certain countries (e.g., Russia and China) also require SOPs for the use of metric altimeters (or conversion tables).

Crossing the Transition Altitude/Flight Level

The transition altitude/flight level can be either:

- Fixed for the whole country (e.g., FL 180 in the United States);
- Fixed for a given airport (as indicated on the approach chart); or,
- Variable, depending on QNH (as indicated in the ATIS broadcast).

Depending on the airline’s/flight crew’s usual area of operation, changing from a fixed transition altitude/flight level to variable transition altitudes/flight levels may result in a premature resetting or a late resetting of the altimeter.

An altitude constraint (expressed in altitude or flight level) also may delay or advance the setting of the standard altimeter setting (1013.2 hPa or 29.92 in. Hg), possibly resulting in crew confusion.

Altimeter References

The barometric-altimeter reference (“bug”) and the radio-altimeter decision height (RA DH) bug must be set according to the aircraft manufacturer’s SOPs or the company’s SOPs. Table 1 shows some examples.

For all approaches, except Category (CAT) I instrument landing system (ILS) approaches with RA DH, CAT II ILS approaches and CAT III ILS approaches, the standard call “minimum” will be based on the barometric-altimeter bug set at the minimum descent altitude/height [MDA(H)] or decision altitude/height [DA(H)].

Radio-altimeter standard calls can be either:

- Announced by the pilot not flying/pilot monitoring (PNF/PM) or the flight engineer; or,
- Generated automatically by a synthesized voice.

Standard calls are tailored to the company SOPs and to the type of approach.

To enhance the flight crew’s awareness of terrain, the standard call “radio altimeter alive” should be announced by the first crewmember observing radio-altimeter activation at 2,500 feet above ground level (AGL).

The radio altimeter then should be included in the instrument scan for the remainder of the approach.

The radio altimeter indicates the aircraft’s height above the ground, not the aircraft’s height above airport elevation. The radar altimeter does not indicate height above trees or towers.
Nevertheless, unless the airport has high close-in terrain, the radio-altimeter indication should reasonably agree with the height above airport elevation (obtained by direct reading of the altimeter if using QFE or by computation if using QNH).

Radio-altimeter indications below the following obstacle-clearance values, should be cause for alarm:

- Initial approach, 1,000 feet;
- Intermediate approach (or minimum radar vectoring altitude), 500 feet; and,
- Final approach (nonprecision approach), 250 feet.

**Low Outside Air Temperature (OAT)**

In a standard atmosphere, the indicated QNH altitude is the true altitude.

Whenever the temperature deviates significantly from the standard temperature, the indicated altitude deviates from the true altitude, as follows:

- At extremely high temperatures, the true altitude is higher than the indicated altitude; and,
- At extremely low temperatures, the true altitude is lower than the indicated altitude, resulting in reduced terrain clearance.

Flying into an area of low temperatures has the same effect as flying into a low-pressure area; the aircraft is lower than the altimeter indicates. Thus, the familiar axiom: “high to low, hot to cold — look out below.”

The International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) publishes altitude corrections (based on the airport surface temperature and the height above the elevation of the altimeter-setting source) to be made to the published minimum safe altitudes.

For example, Figure 4 shows that when conducting an ILS approach with a published minimum glideslope intercept altitude of 2,000 feet and an OAT of –40 degrees Celsius (~40 degrees Fahrenheit), the minimum glideslope intercept altitude should be increased by 440 feet.

The pilot is responsible for making this correction, except when under radar control in a radar-vectoring area (because the controller is responsible normally for terrain clearance, including accounting for the cold temperature correction).

Nevertheless, the pilot should confirm this responsibility with the air traffic services of the country of operation.

Flight crews must apply the ICAO corrections for low temperatures to the following published altitudes:

- Minimum en route altitude (MEA) and minimum safe altitude (MSA);
- Transition route altitude;
- Procedure turn altitude (as applicable);
- Final approach fix (FAF) altitude;
- Step-down altitude(s) and MDA(H) during a nonprecision approach;
- Outer marker (OM) crossing altitude during an ILS approach; and,
- Waypoint crossing altitudes during a global positioning system (GPS) approach flown with barometric vertical navigation.

ICAO does not provide altitude corrections for extremely high temperatures; however, the temperature effect on true altitude must not be ignored when planning for a constant-angle nonprecision approach (CANPA) or other precision-like approach (i.e., to maintain the required flight path/vertical speed).

**Summary**

Altimeter-setting errors result in insufficient vertical-position awareness. The following minimize the potential for altimeter-setting errors and foster optimum use of the barometric-altimeter bug and RA DH bug:

- Awareness of altimeter-setting changes demanded by prevailing weather conditions (extreme cold fronts, extreme warm fronts, steep frontal surfaces, semi-permanent low pressure areas or seasonal low pressure areas);
- Awareness of the unit of measurement for setting the altimeter at the destination airport.

---

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Barometric Altimeter</th>
<th>Radio Altimeter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visual</td>
<td>MDA(H)/DA(H) of instrument approach or 200 feet above airport elevation</td>
<td>200 feet*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonprecision</td>
<td>MDA(H)</td>
<td>200 feet*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILS CAT I with no RA</td>
<td>DA(H)</td>
<td>200 feet*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILS CAT I with RA</td>
<td>DA(H)</td>
<td>RA DH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILS CAT II</td>
<td>DA(H)</td>
<td>RA DH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILS CAT III with DH</td>
<td>DA(H)</td>
<td>RA DH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILS CAT III with no DH</td>
<td>TDZE</td>
<td>Alert height</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MDA(H) = minimum descent altitude/height; DA(H) = decision altitude/height; ILS = instrument landing system; CAT = category; RA DH = radio altimeter decision height; TDZE = touchdown zone elevation

* The RA DH should be set (e.g., at 200 feet) for terrain-awareness purposes. The use of the radio altimeter should be discussed during the approach briefing.

**Note:** For all approaches, except CAT II and CAT III ILS approaches, the approach “minimum” call will be based on the barometric-altimeter bug set at MDA(H) or DA(H).

Source: FSF ALAR Task Force.
• Awareness of the anticipated altimeter setting (based on aviation routine weather reports [METARs] and ATIS broadcasts);

• PF-PNF/PM cross-checking; and,

• Adherence to SOPs for:
  – Resetting altimeters at the transition altitude/flight level;
  – Using the standby altimeter to cross-check the primary altimeters;
  – Altitude calls;
  – Radio-altimeter calls; and,
  – Setting the barometric-altimeter bug and RA DH bug.

The following FSF ALAR Briefing Notes provide information to supplement this discussion

• 1.1 — Operating Philosophy;

• 2.3 — Pilot-Controller Communication;

• 2.4 — Interruptions/Distractions; and,

• 3.2 — Altitude Deviations.

Notes

1. The Flight Safety Foundation Approach-and-landing Accident Reduction (ALAR) Task Force defines causal factor as “an event or item judged to be directly instrumental in the causal chain of events leading to the accident [or incident].” Each accident and incident in the study sample involved several causal factors.
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Notice

The Flight Safety Foundation (FSF) Approach-and-Landing Accident Reduction (ALAR) Task Force produced this briefing note to help prevent approach-and-landing accidents, including those involving controlled flight into terrain. The briefing note is based on the task force’s data-driven conclusions and recommendations, as well as data from the U.S. Commercial Aviation Safety Team’s Joint Safety Analysis Team and the European Joint Aviation Authorities Safety Strategy Initiative.

This briefing note is one of 33 briefing notes that comprise a fundamental part of the FSF ALAR Tool Kit, which includes a variety of other safety products that also have been developed to help prevent approach-and-landing accidents. The briefing notes have been prepared primarily for operators and pilots of turbine-powered airplanes with underwing-mounted engines, but they can be adapted for those who operate airplanes with fuselage-mounted turbine engines, turboprop power plants or piston engines. The briefing notes also address operations with the following: electronic flight instrument systems; integrated autopilots, flight directors and autothrottle systems; flight management systems; automatic ground spoilers; autobrakes; thrust reversers; manufacturers’/operators’ standard operating procedures; and, two-person flight crews.

This information is not intended to superecede operators’ or manufacturers’ policies, practices or requirements, and is not intended to superecede government regulations.
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